

## Simple New Mail Notification

### Status of This Memo

This memo provides information for the Internet community. It does not specify an Internet standard of any kind. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2005).

### Abstract

This memo documents a long-standing technique, supported by a large number of mail servers, which allows users to be notified of new mail. In addition to server support, there are a number of clients that support this, ranging from full email clients to specialized clients whose only purpose is to receive new mail notifications and alert a mail client.

In brief, the server sends the string "nm\_notifyuser" CRLF to the finger port on the IP address (either configured or last used) of the user who has received new mail.

### Table of Contents

1. Introduction . . . . .	2
2. Conventions Used in this Document . . . . .	2
3. Simple Mail Notification . . . . .	2
4. Example . . . . .	3
5. Security Considerations . . . . .	3
6. IANA Considerations . . . . .	3
7. Acknowledgments . . . . .	3

## 1. Introduction

There is a long-standing technique supported by a large number of mail servers that allows users to be notified of new mail. In addition to server support, there are a number of clients that support this, ranging from full email clients to specialized clients whose only purpose is to receive new mail notifications and alert a mail client. This technique is sometimes known as "notify mail" (after a shareware client of the same name), "biff", and the "finger hack".

## 2. Conventions Used in This Document

In examples, "C:" indicates lines sent by the client, and "S:" indicates those sent by the server. Line breaks within a command example are for editorial purposes only. Line breaks in the protocol are indicated by "CRLF".

## 3. Simple Mail Notification

With this technique, the server sends the string "nm\_notifyuser" immediately followed by CRLF to the finger port on the IP address for the user who has received new mail. The finger port is 79. Note that only the port for finger is used; the finger protocol itself is not used.

The IP address to use may be configured, or the server may use the IP address that was last used to check mail by the user. Typically, this is a per-account configuration option.

On the client system, a process must be listening to the finger port to be useful. When it receives the "nm\_notifyuser" string, it takes a configured action, typically instructing a mail client to fetch mail.

Normally, a TCP connection to the target computer is opened, the "nm\_notifyuser" string is sent, and the connection is closed without waiting for a response.

In some cases, UDP is used instead of TCP, but the default and general practice is TCP. Even though only a single message in one direction is sent (with no reply), TCP is used most often, probably for reliability.

There is an assumption that the client listening on an IP address only has responsibility for one email account. If a client can check multiple accounts and receives the "nm\_notifyuser" string, it does not know which account received the mail.

There is a requirement that a finger daemon not be active on the client.

#### 4. Example

This example assumes that new mail has arrived at the server mail.isp.example.com for account fastness@example.net. The server has determined an IP address to which notification should be sent.

```
C: <listens on TCP port 79>
S: <opens TCP connection to IP address port 79>
C: <accepts inbound connection on port 79>
S: nm_notifyuserCRLF
S: <closes TCP connection>
```

#### 5. Security Considerations

There is no assurance that the "nm\_notifyuser" message is being sent to the correct IP address. Nor does the listening agent on the client system have any assurance that an "nm\_notifyuser" string was sent by a mail server that has received new mail for the user.

It is trivial for an attacker to send large numbers of "nm\_notifyuser" messages to a targeted system. Client systems that are listening for this message SHOULD implement protections against being flooded with notifications. Many server systems already implement protections against users logging in and checking mail too frequently.

Because use of this protocol requires that a port be open with an agent listening on it, if that agent contains vulnerabilities, it may create a remotely exploitable attack (for example, buffer overflows that permit an attacker to execute arbitrary code on the client system with the permissions of the agent). As usual, with a process listening on a port, the process should execute with the least possible privilege level and access.

#### 6. IANA Considerations

The notify mail hack (and this document) should be included as an additional usage for port 79.

#### 7. Acknowledgments

The NotifyMail shareware utility was written by Scott Gruby.

## Author's Address

Randall Gellens  
QUALCOMM Incorporated  
6455 Lusk Blvd.  
San Diego, CA 92121-2779  
USA  
EMail: randy@qualcomm.com

## Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2005).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org).

## Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

